

Document made available under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

International application number: PCT/EP04/053633

International filing date: 21 December 2004 (21.12.2004)

Document type: Certified copy of priority document

Document details: Country/Office: EP
Number: 03104883.8
Filing date: 22 December 2003 (22.12.2003)

Date of receipt at the International Bureau: 27 January 2005 (27.01.2005)

Remark: Priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b)



World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) - Geneva, Switzerland
Organisation Mondiale de la Propriété Intellectuelle (OMPI) - Genève, Suisse

21 DEC 2004

**Europäisches
Patentamt****European
Patent Office****Office européen
des brevets****Bescheinigung****Certificate****Attestation**

Die angehefteten Unterlagen stimmen mit der ursprünglich eingereichten Fassung der auf dem nächsten Blatt bezeichneten europäischen Patentanmeldung überein.

The attached documents are exact copies of the European patent application described on the following page, as originally filed.

Les documents fixés à cette attestation sont conformes à la version initialement déposée de la demande de brevet européen spécifiée à la page suivante.

Patentanmeldung Nr. Patent application No. Demande de brevet n°

03104883.8

Der Präsident des Europäischen Patentamts;
Im Auftrag

For the President of the European Patent Office

Le Président de l'Office européen des brevets
p.o.

R C van Dijk



Anmeldung Nr:
Application no.: 03104883.8
Demande no:

Anmeldetag:
Date of filing: 22.12.03
Date de dépôt:

Anmelder/Applicant(s)/Demandeur(s):

SHELL INTERNATIONALE RESEARCH
MAATSCHAPPIJ B.V.
Carel van Bylandtlaan 30
2596 HR Den Haag
PAYS-BAS

Bezeichnung der Erfindung/Title of the invention/Titre de l'invention:
(Falls die Bezeichnung der Erfindung nicht angegeben ist, siehe Beschreibung.
If no title is shown please refer to the description.
Si aucun titre n'est indiqué se référer à la description.)

GAS-LIQUID CONTACTING TRAY

In Anspruch genommene Priorität(en) / Priority(ies) claimed /Priorité(s)
revendiquée(s)
Staat/Tag/Aktenzeichen/State/Date/File no./Pays/Date/Numéro de dépôt:

Internationale Patentklassifikation/International Patent Classification/
Classification internationale des brevets:

B01D3/00

Am Anmeldetag benannte Vertragstaaten/Contracting states designated at date of
filing/Etats contractants désignées lors du dépôt:

AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HU IE IT LU MC NL
PT RO SE SI SK TR LI

GAS-LIQUID CONTACTING TRAY

Field of the Invention

The invention relates to a gas-liquid contacting tray suitable for horizontal mounting in a normally vertical column for counter-currently contacting gas and liquid.

Background of the Invention

5 In particular, the invention relates to such a tray comprising a tray plate with substantially circular circumference having top and bottom surfaces, which tray plate is provided with passages for gas between the bottom and the top surface and wherein one or more
10 downcomers are arranged for guiding liquid from the top surface of the tray plate to below the tray, each downcomer extending from an inlet opening arranged in the tray plate to a downcomer outlet opening below the tray. The tray according to the invention can with particular
15 advantage be used in relatively small diameter columns, having a diameter of about 1 m and larger, in particular 1.2 meter and larger, and smaller than about 2 m, in particular 1.8 m and smaller.

20 Where the term gas is used in the description or in the claims it shall also refer to vapour. Where the term liquid is used it can also refer to froth.

25 Relatively small gas-liquid contacting columns of between 1 and 2 m diameter present a number of specific challenges for the design of an efficient gas-liquid contacting tray.

In a traditional design, a single segmental downcomer is arranged on each tray in a column. A segmental downcomer is a downcomer wherein the downcomer inlet opening is arranged near the wall so that part of the

circumference of the downcomer inlet opening runs along the circumference of the tray (column wall). In a vertical gas/liquid contacting column, a plurality of such trays are stacked wherein consecutive trays are rotated by 180 degrees about the column axis, so that each tray receives liquid from the downcomer outlet of the next higher tray on a liquid receiving area diametrically opposite to the downcomer inlet opening. This design has however disadvantages.

One disadvantage is that the flow path length of liquid over the tray is relatively long, in the order of the diameter of the tray minus the width of the downcomer. Although this might be thought of as an advantage in the first place, it turns out that a relatively large gradient in liquid height develops on the tray during normal operation, between the liquid receiving area and the downcomer inlet opening. This maldistribution of liquid impairs tray efficiency and capacity in that gas preferably passes through gas passages in the area of low liquid height, near the downcomer inlet opening. Also, at the largest liquid heights, liquid can weep through the gas passages which limits tray capacity.

A further problem with single segmental downcomers is that in the flow pattern of liquid over a tray so-called dead zones are formed near the column wall, halfway between the receiving area and the downcomer inlet opening. The dead zones result in a lower tray efficiency, unless special measures are taken in order to improve the flow pattern.

A further disadvantage is that it is not possible to provide large downcomer inlet openings without compromising tray efficiency, in cases of high liquid load of the column. Liquid load can be expressed in terms

of the flow parameter $\Phi = \frac{V_l}{V_g} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g}}$, wherein the V_l and V_g are liquid and gas volumes in the feed per unit of time, and ρ_l and ρ_g are the densities of liquid and gas, respectively. At high liquid loads the flow parameter is equal to or larger than about 0.1.

In order to provide a large downcomer inlet opening, e.g. 20%-27% of the total cross-sectional area of the tray or more, a very wide segmental downcomer has to be used. However, such a downcomer still has a relatively low downcomer inlet length. The term downcomer inlet length is used in the description and in the claims to refer to the length of the circumference of the downcomer from which liquid can be received from the tray. This length is often provided with a weir in order to provide for a minimum liquid height on the tray. Therefore the downcomer inlet length is often also referred to as weir length even if no weir is arranged.

A relatively short downcomer inlet length in combination with a relatively large downcomer inlet area is undesirable because the inlet length becomes the limiting factor for liquid handling capacity. This results in relatively large liquid heights on the tray, which is generally unwanted since it contributes to premature jet-flooding, and therefore limits tray capacity.

An alternative tray design in smaller columns, in order to provide more downcomer inlet length, is the so-called two-pass tray. In this design two types of trays are used that are alternately stacked in a column. The first type of trays has two segmental downcomers that are arranged diametrically opposite to each other on the tray. The second type has a single rectangular downcomer along a diameter of the tray, which is arranged parallel to the segmental downcomers of the

adjacent trays. The liquid flow path length in this two-pass design is in the order of half the tray diameter minus the downcomer width.

The two-pass tray design also has disadvantages.

5 First, two significantly different types of trays have to be manufactured. Second, one tray type will normally be limiting, and it is nearly impossible to provide a fully balanced design. For example, the downcomer inlet length is significantly different on both tray types. Third, on
10 the tray with the single diametrical downcomer there is normally no fluid communication between tray areas on either side of the downcomer, above and below the tray. Therefore different liquid levels may develop on both sides, and there is no vapour communication below the
15 tray, and this impedes tray efficiency. In principle, fluid communication channels can be arranged between the two sides to alleviate the vapour communication problem, however this adds to complexity and cost of the tray.

In another tray design, which is often applied for
20 larger trays, a plurality of parallel downcomers is arranged between the circumference of the tray and a virtual diametrical line. Examples of this tray design are disclosed in US patents No. 6 460 833, No. 6 494 440, and No. 6 588 735. The arrangement of downcomers on the
25 two tray sections is identical, such that one tray section can be transformed into the other by a rotation about 180 degrees about the centre of the tray. The total number of downcomers is even. On each tray section at least one substantially rectangular downcomer is arranged
30 along a line perpendicular to the virtual diametrical line. Also on each tray section one segmental downcomer can be arranged in a corner between the virtual diametrical line and the tray circumference. The downcomers on the two tray sections form a staggered
35 arrangement. Adjacent trays in a column are mirror images

of each other with the virtual diametrical line as mirror.

5 This layout of downcomers on the tray works well for larger columns having a diameter above ca 2 m. It can also be applied for smaller diameter columns, however the design has to increasingly take account of a limitation that the flow path length, which is in the order of half the distance between adjacent downcomers on a tray section, does not become too small. This is in particular
10 the case when a relatively large downcomer inlet area needs to be provided on the tray in order to provide sufficient liquid handling capacity. For new columns one could choose a larger diameter in order to provide a minimum flow path length, for retrofitting existing
15 columns this is not possible.

For example, it can be calculated that, with one rectangular and one segmental downcomer per tray section in the known layout, a flow path length of say 250 mm parallel to the virtual diametrical line can only be
20 realised on a tray with say 1.5 m diameter, if the total downcomer inlet area is less than 18% of the total cross-sectional area of the tray.

It is an object of the present invention to provide a gas-liquid contacting tray with high liquid handling
25 capacity particularly in small columns, and allowing robust and efficient operation and cost-efficient manufacturing of the tray.

Summary of the Invention

According to the invention there is provided a gas-liquid contacting tray suitable for horizontal mounting
30 in a normally vertical column, which tray comprises - a tray plate with substantially circular circumference and having top and bottom surfaces, which tray plate is provided with passages for gas between the bottom and the
35 top surface, and on which tray plate two semi-circular

tray sections are defined by a virtual diametrical line of the tray plate; and

- a total of three downcomers for guiding liquid from the top surface of the tray plate to below the tray, each downcomer extending from an inlet opening arranged in the tray plate to a downcomer outlet opening below the tray,

wherein two of the downcomers are arranged in the corners of one of the semi-circular tray sections, and wherein the third downcomer is arranged on the other tray section substantially along a radius of the tray that is perpendicular to the diametrical line.

The invention provides a specific advantageous design of a gas-liquid contacting tray, with two downcomers in the corners of one of the tray sections (hereafter "corner downcomers"), and one downcomer substantially along a radius of the tray (hereafter "radial downcomer", even though the downcomer does not need to extend along the full length of the radius).

When trays according to the invention are stacked in a column, wherein adjacent trays are rotated relative to each other by 180 degrees about the column axis, the projection of downcomers of a higher tray onto the next lower tray coincides with the mirror images of downcomers on the lower tray with respect to the virtual diametrical line as mirror axis. The outlets of the two corner downcomers in one tray section of the upper tray are symmetrically arranged with respect to the inlet of the radial downcomer of the lower tray, and the outlet of the radial downcomer of the upper tray is symmetrically arranged between the inlets of the corner downcomers of the lower tray. It is therefore not needed to manufacture two kinds of trays.

The arrangement of downcomers in both tray sections is very different, one radial versus two half-segmental (corner) downcomers. As a consequence of this asymmetry,

the downcomer inlet length, downcomer inlet area and ratio between downcomer inlet length and downcomer inlet area is in general different in both tray sections. Prior to the present invention one would have expected that the asymmetry causes severe problems limiting tray capacity and/or efficiency, because of potential maldistribution of liquid over the two tray sections. Applicant has realized however that the asymmetry is not a problem in practice. Any maldistribution of liquid between the two tray sections will not result in a large gradient of liquid height because of the open communication of fluid between the tray sections, and because of the fact that the maximum flow path length for liquid to the nearest downcomer is not too long, in the order of only half a tray diameter minus downcomer width at the level of the tray plate (so-called top width). If required, the downcomer inlet length and/or downcomer inlet area on both tray sections can be adjusted individually, e.g. by proper selection of the width and shape of the corner downcomers, and/or the width of the radial downcomer, so that a properly balanced design is obtained.

Suitably the ratio of the downcomer inlet area of the radial downcomer to the downcomer inlet area of both half-segmental (corner) downcomers together is between 0.75 and 1.25, preferably between 0.9 and 1.1.

Suitably the ratio of the downcomer inlet length of the radial downcomer to the downcomer inlet length of both half-segmental (corner) downcomers together is between 0.75 and 1.25, preferably between 0.9 and 1.1.

The design with three downcomers according to the invention provides an average flow path length of liquid over the tray (bubble area), that is long enough for good gas/liquid contact even in small columns of 1 m to 2 m diameter, and even for relatively large downcomer inlet areas of 20-27% and more, relative to the total tray

cross-sectional area. The design is however also suitable for lower relative downcomer inlet areas down to 8% or less, e.g. 10 or 15 %.

5 The tray according to the invention has a relatively large portion of downcomer inlet length parallel to the virtual diametrical line separating the tray sections. Nevertheless, Applicant has found that liquid bypassing is not a problem. The term liquid bypassing is used to refer to liquid running directly from the outlet of a
10 downcomer into the inlet of the nearest downcomer on the other tray section of the lower tray. Experiments have been performed to estimate the influence of liquid bypassing between corner downcomers, wherein high baffles were placed on the lower tray at the sides of the corner
15 downcomer inlet openings along the virtual diametrical line. It was found that placing these baffles did not significantly improve tray efficiency in an n-butane/iso-butane separation experiment, wherein tray efficiency is defined as the fraction of mass transfer achieved in the vapour phase as compared to the equilibrium mass
20 transfer. However, it was found that tray capacity was significantly lower when the baffles were placed.

25 An advantage of the tray according to the invention as compared with the single segmental and two-pass trays is the larger downcomer inlet length which results in lower liquid heights on the tray and leads to higher tray capacity.

Brief description of the Figure

30 The invention will now be described in more detail with reference to Figure 1, which shows schematically a top view of a gas-liquid contacting tray according to the present invention.

Detailed Discussion of the Invention

35 Reference is made to Figure 1. The tray 1 comprises a tray plate 5 with substantially circular circumference 6.

Around the circumference a support ring 7 is arranged, by means of which the tray can be mounted horizontally in a vertical column (not shown). The tray plate has top and bottom surfaces, of which the top surface 8 is visible in Figure 1. The tray plate is provided with passages for gas 10 between the bottom and the top surface, which can be in the form of sieve holes, moveable valves, fixed valves, or other gas passages known in the art. The passages for gas 10 are shown only at some locations on the tray plate, but extend over essentially the entire free area of the tray plate not occupied by downcomers.

Two semi-circular tray sections 14, 15 are defined by a virtual diametrical line 18 of the tray plate 5. Three downcomers 21, 22, 23 for guiding liquid from the top surface of the tray plate to below the tray are arranged in the tray. Each downcomer extends from an inlet opening 26, 27, 28 arranged in the tray plate to a downcomer bottom plate 31, 32, 33 below the tray. In the bottom plates, outlet openings are arranged that form a suitable restriction for liquid flow, and in the embodiment as shown the outlet openings have the form of rectangular slots 36, 37, 38. As is visible in the Figure, the bottom plates have smaller cross-section than the respective inlet openings, and are connected by sloped downcomer side walls, i.e. the downcomers as shown are so-called sloped downcomers. Suitably the downcomers are so-called truncated downcomers, which means that the bottom plate is arranged some distance above the liquid receiving area on the tray plate below when the trays are mounted one above the other in a vertical column. A truncated downcomer suitably extends to between 50% and 90% of the tray spacing below the tray. Tray spacing can be defined as the distance between the top surfaces of two adjacent tray plates in the column. Suitably the tray spacing is between 0.2 m and 1 m.

Two of the downcomers are arranged in the corners 41, 42 of the semi-circular tray section 14, which corners are defined by the diametrical line 18 and the circumference 6. The corner downcomers 41,42 are substantially half-segmental downcomers. The inlet opening 26,27 of each half-segmental downcomer is defined by a straight longer side 46,47 perpendicular to the virtual diametrical line 18, a straight shorter side 48,49 facing and parallel with the line 18, and a curved rear side 50,51 adjacent to the circumference 6. It shall be clear that, in order to save manufacturing cost, the rear side wall can also be manufactured from a flat plate or a plurality of flat plates suitably assembled along a polygonal line which follows the curved shape of the rear side as shown.

The third downcomer 32 is arranged on the other tray section 15, and extends substantially along a radius 44 of the tray that is perpendicular to the diametrical line 18. The radial downcomer 32 is a so-called rectangular downcomer, wherein the inlet opening 28 is defined by two longer sides 53,54 that run parallel with and at equal distances to the radius 44, and two shorter sides 55,56. Side 55 is parallel to and faces the diametrical line 18 at a selected distance. It will be clear that the side 56 adjacent to the circumference 6 can be curved as shown or also straight, e.g. to minimize manufacturing cost.

The Figure also shows the projections of the bottom plates 61, 62, 63 of the downcomers of the next higher tray in the column onto the tray plate 5.

During normal operation of the tray 1 in a column, liquid will be received on the tray 1 in the area of the projections 61, 62, 63. Liquid will generally flow towards the inlet of the adjacent downcomers, wherein the main flow directions of liquid at various locations on the tray are indicated by arrows 65. During this passage

of liquid, gas can bubble through via the gas passages 10 so that intimate contact of gas and liquid is achieved so that heat and/or matter can be exchanged.

5 The liquid is received by the inlet openings 26,27,28 of the downcomers 21,22,23 and passes via the downcomer outlets 36, 37, 38 onto the next lower tray. The downcomer inlet length of each corner downcomers 21,22 is formed by the total length of the respective long and short side, 46,48; and 47,49 respectively. The downcomer 10 inlet length of the rectangular downcomer 23 is formed by the total length of the sides 53,54, and 55.

The shorter sides of the downcomers contribute to a relatively large percentage to the total downcomer inlet length in the trays according to the present invention. 15 It was thought prior to the present invention that this would strongly affect tray efficiency since liquid could easily flow from a liquid receiving area on one tray section to the inlet of the adjacent downcomer on the other tray section, e.g. from area 61 to downcomer 22, 20 from 62 to 21, and from 63 to 23. Such an effect should be most pronounced for the corner downcomers. Differently from all other tray designs known before there is no rectangular downcomer in tray section 14, so the two corner downcomers constitute all of the downcomers in 25 this one tray section.

In order to investigate this effect experiments with and without high baffles preventing direct flux between area 61 and downcomer 22, and between area 62 and downcomer 21, were conducted. As described above, only a 30 minor effect on tray efficiency was observed without the baffles, but a strong decrease of tray capacity with the baffles. The relevant flow path length parallel to the diametrical line is suitably chosen above a predetermined limit, e.g. 150 mm, 200 mm, 250 mm, or 300 mm.

An example of a tray according to the present invention shall now be discussed quantitatively, wherein reference to parts indicated in Figure 1 will be made. The tray of the example has a diameter of 1500 mm. The support ring 7 has a width of 50 mm, leaving an effective tray diameter of 1400 mm. The top width of the rectangular downcomer (length of inlet side 55) is 356 mm, and the top width of the corner downcomers (length of sides 48, 49) is 330 mm. The sides 48, 49, 55 run parallel to the line 18 at a distance of 50 mm to allow for the placement of a supporting beam along the line 18 should that be required. The flow-path length, which is calculated as the average distance between a downcomer and the adjacent liquid receiving area on the same tray section is then 281 mm, which is sufficiently long for good efficiency of the tray, while the total downcomer inlet area in this layout is rather large at 27% of the cross-section of the tray. It is impossible to obtain a similar combination of flow path length and total downcomer inlet area for a tray of such small diameter with previously known tray designs, while maintaining a downcomer inlet length that is long enough for sufficiently high tray capacity and efficiency. While maintaining a flow-path length of 250 mm or more, it is possible with the trays according to the present invention to provide a relative downcomer inlet area of or above 15% over the full range from 1 m to 2 m tray diameter, and 20% or more can be provided for column diameters between 1.2 m and 2 m.

The total downcomer inlet length on the tray is 3458 mm, of which 1016 mm runs along the virtual diametrical line 18. It can further be calculated that the rectangular downcomer 23 accounts for 48% of the total downcomer inlet area and for 47% of the total downcomer inlet length, the remainders being evenly

distributed over the two half-segmental downcomers 21,22. Despite the large differences in geometry of the downcomers on both tray sections it is thus possible with the tray of the present invention to provide a downcomer layout that is surprisingly balanced between the tray sections. A balanced design is preferred as it minimizes cross-flow between the tray sections.

It shall be clear that the shape of downcomers can be different from the example shown in Figure 1. For example, the shape of the sharp corner of a half-segmental downcomer inlet, defined by the longer straight side and the rear side such as sides 46 and 50 of downcomer 21, can be modified in order to fine-tune the ratio of downcomer inlet length and downcomer area. The sharp corner could e.g. be cut off by a further straight side parallel with the side 48, which would also make the manufacturing of the corner downcomers simpler and cheaper. The longer side and the rear side of the corner downcomer inlet opening could also be connected by a plurality of straight sides.

C L A I M S

1. A gas-liquid contacting tray suitable for horizontal mounting in a normally vertical column, which tray comprises

5 - a tray plate with substantially circular circumference and having top and bottom surfaces, which tray plate is provided with passages for gas between the bottom and the top surface, and on which tray plate two semi-circular tray sections are defined by a virtual diametrical line
10 of the tray plate; and

- a total of three downcomers for guiding liquid from the top surface of the tray plate to below the tray, each downcomer extending from an inlet opening arranged in the tray plate to a downcomer outlet opening below the tray,

15 wherein two of the downcomers are arranged in the corners of one of the semi-circular tray sections, and wherein the third downcomer is arranged on the other tray section substantially along a radius of the tray that is perpendicular to the diametrical line.

2. The tray according to claim 1, wherein the ratio of the area of the inlet opening of the radial downcomer to the total area of the inlet openings of both corner downcomers is between 0.75 and 1.25, preferably between 0.9 and 1.1.

3. The tray according to claim 1 or 2, wherein total area of the inlet openings of all three downcomers account for 20% or more of the total cross-sectional area of the tray.

4. The tray according to any one of claims 1-3, wherein the inlet opening of the radial downcomer defines a radial downcomer inlet length, and wherein the inlet openings of the corner downcomers define a total corner

downcomer inlet length, and wherein the ratio of the radial downcomer inlet length to the total corner downcomer inlet length is between 0.75 and 1.25, preferably between 0.9 and 1.1.

5. The tray according to any one of claims 1-4, wherein the inlet opening of each of the corner downcomers has a side parallel to the virtual diametrical line and a side perpendicular to the diametrical line, and a side substantially conforming to the circumference of the tray.

6. The tray according to claim 5, wherein the side substantially conforming to the circumference of the tray runs at least partly along a straight line.

7. The tray according to claim 5 or 6, wherein the side perpendicular to the diametrical line and the side substantially conforming to the circumference of the tray are connected by one or more further sides of the downcomer inlet opening.

A B S T R A C T

GAS-LIQUID CONTACTING TRAY

5 A gas-liquid contacting tray suitable for horizontal
mounting in a normally vertical column, which tray
comprises a tray plate with substantially circular
circumference and having top and bottom surfaces, which
10 tray plate is provided with passages for gas between the
bottom and the top surface, and on which tray plate two
semi-circular tray sections are defined by a virtual
diametrical line of the tray plate; and a total of three
downcomers for guiding liquid from the top surface of the
15 tray plate to below the tray, each downcomer extending
from an inlet opening arranged in the tray plate to a
downcomer outlet opening below the tray, wherein two of
the downcomers are arranged in the corners of one of the
semi-circular tray sections, and wherein the third
downcomer is arranged on the other tray section
substantially along a radius of the tray that is
perpendicular to the diametrical line.

(Figure 1)

